

# RatingsDirect®

---

## In The Debt Debate, Our Sovereign Ratings Have No Austerity Bias

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Moritz Kraemer, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-249; moritz\_kraemer@standardandpoors.com

### Table Of Contents

---

Fiscal Austerity Alone Is No Solution

Policy Choices Influence Creditworthiness

# In The Debt Debate, Our Sovereign Ratings Have No Austerity Bias

Few economic controversies in recent decades have been as divisive as the current debate regarding the appropriate policy mix to lead the European Economic and Monetary Union (eurozone) out of its current financial and economic crisis. In essence, the question is this: Should governments make budgetary cuts an urgent priority in light of historically high public sector borrowing needs among advanced-economy sovereigns and limited market appetite to absorb rising debt? Or does austerity undermine a nation's growth, putting it on a self-defeating downward spiral of deepening recession and budgetary tightening, in turn sucking out more economic dynamism. Understandably, the debate is particularly intense in Europe, where growth and employment have been particularly lackluster, and which is generally regarded as the epicenter of the current problems. (Watch the related CreditMatters TV segment titled "In The Debt Debate, Our Sovereign Ratings Have No Austerity Bias," dated June 27, 2012.)

The Greek election last weekend indirectly put this very question to the voters. Would it be preferable to commit to continued fiscal austerity in an uncertain effort to convince creditors that debt to GDP might eventually stabilize without further restructuring? Or should the main focus be on quickly restoring growth, leaving fiscal rectitude for later? The results suggest that the Greek electorate proved to be just as divided on the issue as European policymakers appear to be.

These issues are difficult to disentangle and in our view are unlikely to be solved by simple one-size-fits-all solutions. We consider it certainly the case that the balance between fiscal consolidation on the one hand and stimulating growth on the other are important in assessing sovereigns' fundamental creditworthiness. However, it is not the role of a credit rating agency such as Standard & Poor's to provide policy advice. Deciding on policy choices is the domain of governments and their advisors; the rating agency's role as a neutral observer is to express its view on the impact of these choices on the sovereigns' creditworthiness. Consequently, we strongly reject the suggestion by some commentators that Standard & Poor's has taken sides in the growth versus austerity debate, with some saying we have "demanded" more austerity. This groundless assertion assumes that rating agencies have not only the desire, but also the ability, to effectively prescribe policy choices on whole societies. The explicit claim that rating agencies have a budget-cutting bias is easily exposed as baseless by reviewing our published analysis.

## Fiscal Austerity Alone Is No Solution

A look at the ratings on eurozone sovereigns puts the debate on a factual basis. When Standard & Poor's lowered the rating on nine euro area sovereigns in January 2012, among them the economic heavyweights of France, Spain, and Italy, we clearly stated our opinion that the European policy choices as agreed in the EU summit on Dec. 9, 2011, had focused too narrowly on restrictive fiscal policy, with the fiscal compact as the cornerstone of this approach. Indeed, at the time we stated our belief that "an effective strategy that would buoy confidence and lower the currently elevated borrowing costs for European sovereigns could include, for example, a greater pooling of fiscal resources and obligations as well as enhanced mutual budgetary oversight". We have also stated that we believe that "a reform process based on a pillar of fiscal austerity alone would risk becoming self-defeating, as domestic demand falls in line

with consumers' rising concerns about job security and disposable incomes, eroding national tax revenues" (see "Credit FAQ: Factors Behind Our Rating Actions On Eurozone Sovereign Governments," published Jan. 13, 2012, on RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal). More recently, when we lowered the rating on Spain in April 2012, we stated our view that "front-loaded fiscal austerity in Spain will likely exacerbate the numerous risks to growth over the medium term, highlighting the importance of offsetting stimulus through labor market and structural reforms"(see "Ratings On Spain Lowered To 'BBB+/A-2' On Debt Concerns; Outlook Negative," published on April 26, 2012). The essence of these statements is quite obviously at odds with the claim that Standard & Poor's is biased towards, or even "demands", an all-out fiscal austerity drive, without regard to the consequences.

## **Policy Choices Influence Creditworthiness**

Another source that shows our approach to the subject is our published sovereign ratings' methodology. In addition to willingness to pay, what matters ultimately for a sovereign's creditworthiness is whether a government will be able to service its debt load. A government's ability to achieve sustainable economic growth is an important factor in analyzing this question under our criteria. After all, the public debt-to-GDP ratio is a ratio, and the denominator (nominal GDP) matters as much as the numerator (debt). Our methodology makes this balanced approach clear: indeed, when deriving the rating on a sovereign, we explicitly assign a somewhat higher weight to economic factors than to fiscal ones. This is a long way from single-mindedly "demanding" more austerity, even if it were to significantly undercut a country's economic prospects.

While Standard & Poor's does not advise on, let alone demand certain policies, we recognize that policy choices do, of course, matter. They also have a bearing on our opinion on the likelihood that a given sovereign will service its debt in a timely manner. And in many cases, the consolidation of public finances may indeed be a key ingredient in safeguarding sovereign creditworthiness. In an optimal world, advanced-economy sovereigns would have entered the global financial crisis with low levels of public debt (which indeed was the case for Ireland and Spain, before they assumed high private sector debts). We recognize, however, that in the world as we experience it, fiscal space is not infinite, particularly for sovereigns with limited monetary flexibility. Governments with lower debt to GDP have more room to maneuver as a simple algebraic matter of the debt-sustainability formula. Experience has shown that they also would have greater credibility in the market. A government that has run countercyclical fiscal policy in good times can enjoy countercyclical fiscal policy in bad times. We have seen that, for countries with a structural current account deficit, it is important to raise public sector savings to help restore external equilibrium.

Our recognition of this reality should not be mistaken for policy advice. But even in instances where we consider that fiscal consolidation is an important element contributing to safeguarding creditworthiness, under our criteria we would not change the ratings if there were to be a temporary slippage in the consolidation progress as long as we were to see that a viable and credible strategy is in place to secure sustainable public finances over a longer term.

In short, contrary to views expressed by some market commentators, Standard & Poor's has no bias in favor of pro-growth or pro-austerity policies. In line with our published criteria, we look at a large array of factors, including both economic and growth factors as well as fiscal performance factors. The impact of policy choices on sovereign creditworthiness will depend on the economic and institutional circumstances. Therefore, we will continue to analyze

the impact of policy choices on a case-by-case basis rather than adopting sweeping and ideological positions in favor of a particular policy orientation.

**Additional Contact:**

Sovereign Ratings; SovereignLondon@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2012 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).